ISSN: 2663-5798 || Arab Journal for Scientific Publishing

الإصدار الثامن – العدد الرابع والثمانون
تاريخ الإصدار: 2 – تشرين الأول – 2025م

www.ajsp.net

"Generative AI and Institutional Reform in Sudan: Foundations, Mechanisms, and Transformative Applications"

Researchers:

Ahmed Mohammed Khater Arabi

College of Business Administration -Taibah University

Babiker Abdelrazig Wagi Allah Elkhader

College of Business Administration -Taibah University

https://doi.org/10.36571/ajsp841

ISSN: 2663-5798 || Arab Journal for Scientific Publishing

الإصدار الثامن – العدد الرابع والثمانون
تاريخ الإصدار: 2 – تشرين الأول – 2025م

www.ajsp.net

Abstract:
Sudan's post-conflict transition presents a critical opportunity to reimagine state institutions through inclusive, resilient, and ethically grounded reform. This paper explores the potential for generative artificial intelligence (AI) specifically Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Variational Autoencoders (VAEs), and transformer-based models to support this complex process of institutional reconstruction. Moving beyond technocratic solutions, the study examines the theoretical foundations of these models and maps their operational mechanisms onto key domains of reform, including constitutional design, budget simulation, civic engagement, and transitional justice. It argues that generative AI, when locally adapted and ethically governed, can serve as a catalyst for reimagining governance, amplifying civic agency, and embedding pluralistic narratives into the fabric of institutional renewal. The paper concludes with ethical reflections and comparative insights from Rwanda, Colombia, and Tunisia, outlining a framework for contextually sensitive implementation.

Keywords: Generative AI, Institutional Reform, Post-Conflict Transition, Sudan, Transitional Justice, Constitutional Design, Civic Engagement, Ethical AI.

1. Introduction

Sudan stands at a critical juncture in its political history. Following decades of authoritarian rule, protracted civil conflicts, and fragmented governance, the nation faces the profound task of reconstructing its institutions a challenge that extends beyond mere form to encompass ethical substance. The collapse of centralized legitimacy, the erosion of public trust, and the historical marginalization of diverse regional and cultural identities have created a vacuum that conventional, externally-driven reform mechanisms have struggled to fill. These frameworks, often technocratic and donor-led, frequently fail to engage with the lived realities, historical trauma, and contested narratives that define Sudan's socio-political landscape, thereby risking the reproduction of the very exclusions they aim to resolve.

This paper posits that generative artificial intelligence (AI) offers a novel epistemic modality for institutional reconstruction one designed to complement political agency rather than replace it. Generative models, particularly GANs, VAEs, and transformer-based architectures, possess a unique capacity to simulate alternative futures, synthesize fragmented narratives, and scaffold participatory design processes. Their ability to generate context-sensitive outputs from limited or heterogeneous data makes them particularly valuable in fragile, transitional settings like Sudan.

The central research questions this paper addresses are:

How can the process of institutional reform in Sudan be reimagined to be more inclusive, contextually grounded, and ethically responsive?

What specific role can generative AI play in enabling such reform, both technically and normatively?

To answer these questions, the paper proceeds by exploring the theoretical foundations of key generative AI models, mapping their potential applications to Sudanese institutional domains, and critically reflecting on the ethical and practical implications of their deployment. This paper does not propose AI as a technocratic fix but rather positions it as a tool of inclusive imagination a means to amplify civic voices, visualize pluralistic futures, and embed deliberative processes into the architecture of institutional design.

The paper organizes as follow; section tow shows Theoretical Foundations: Key Generative AI Models and Their Normative Potential. Section three clarify Operational Framework: Implementation Principles for a Fragile Context, section four Application Domains: From Theory to Practice, section five revealed Ethical Guardrails and Comparative Lessons and section six is Conclusion: AI as a Catalyst for Reimagined Governance.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Generative AI for Institutional Reform

2.1 Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) consist of two neural networks a generator (G) and a discriminator (D) engaged in a dynamic adversarial process. The generator learns to produce synthetic data that mimics real data distributions, while the discriminator evaluates the authenticity of the data. This competition leads to the generation of increasingly realistic

synthetic outputs. GANs' ability to produce plausible synthetic data is invaluable for stress-testing institutional designs under uncertainty. Applications include simulating electoral outcomes under different systems, generating anonymized datasets for privacy-preserving policy testing, modeling institutional responses to systemic shocks, and generating alternative historical narratives for civic education to support pluralistic memory and state-building.

2.2 Variational Autoencoders (VAEs)

Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) are probabilistic generative models that learn compressed, latent representations of complex data. An encoder maps input data to a structured latent space, and a decoder samples from this space to generate new, plausible data variations. VAEs are particularly suited for probabilistic scenario generation in low-data environments. In Sudan, they could model latent budgetary trade-offs, simulate multidimensional refugee reintegration pathways, explore public preferences for transitional justice mechanisms, and augment sparse datasets for robust policy experimentation. Their interpretability and inherent uncertainty modeling provide policymakers with a clear assessment of risks and trade-offs.

Transformer-Based Models

Transformer-based models, built on self-attention mechanisms, excel at understanding and generating context-aware sequences of data, particularly text. Their architecture enables them to capture long-range dependencies and process information in parallel. Transformers are powerful tools for narrative-building and communication. They can draft policy briefs by synthesizing structured and unstructured data, translate and summarize legal texts across languages (e.g., Arabic, English, local dialects), generate accessible civic education materials tailored to diverse literacy levels, and synthesize community inputs into coherent institutional narratives crucial for reconciliation.

3. Operational Mechanisms and Implementation Challenges

The successful application of generative AI in Sudan hinges on overcoming significant operational challenges. Key considerations include:

- **Data Sourcing:** Models must be trained on diverse, context-rich data sources, including historical archives, oral testimonies, civil society reports, and multilingual policy documents.
- **Training Constraints:** Data scarcity, extreme linguistic diversity, and the ethical sensitivity of post-conflict data present substantial hurdles to model development.
- **Evaluation Metrics:** Beyond technical accuracy, outputs must be evaluated on narrative coherence, policy relevance, and validation by local communities.
- **Governance Needs:** Implementation requires transparent model auditing, robust local oversight, and a foundational commitment to inclusive co-design with Sudanese stakeholders to avoid perpetuating technocratic or external dominance.

4. Proposed Applications in the Sudanese Context

- 4.1 Constitutional and Legal Reform
- Generative models can facilitate more inclusive and informed legal processes. Large Language Models (LLMs) can generate comparative constitutional drafts based on global best practices and local principles. GANs and VAEs can simulate the long-term implications of federal versus unitary governance structures on service delivery and stability. Transformer models can translate complex legal texts into accessible formats and languages, broadening civic engagement in the comprehensive reform process.
- 4.2 AI-Driven Governance: Participatory Systems and Institutional Capacity
- Generative AI (GenAI) is envisioned as the central nervous system for a new, transparent, and efficient governance architecture in Sudan, designed to realize a cohesive national vision. This transformative role is articulated across three integrated pillars: participatory resource allocation, institutional capacity building, and the foundational digital systems that enable them.
- The first pillar involves creating Participatory and Data-Driven Decision-Making Platforms. Here, Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) model complex budgetary trade-offs under various fiscal constraints, visually illustrating the implications of different allocation strategies for essential services. When integrated with transformer-based interfaces, these models power accessible platforms that allow citizens to explore these trade-offs and submit resource allocation proposals in natural language. This

makes technical processes transparent and inclusive, transforming governance from a one-way provision into a two-way, personalized interaction and fostering national cohesion.

- The second pillar focuses on Reinforcing Institutional Capacity. To ensure these new systems are managed effectively, generative AI enhances the resilience of nascent government structures. It creates customized training modules for civil servants, simulates policy implementation across different regions to forecast impacts, and assists in drafting standardized administrative procedures. This supports critical knowledge retention and adaptive learning within institutions, building the human expertise needed to sustain reform.
- Underpinning these efforts is the third pillar: Foundational E-Governance and Transparency. GenAI provides the data-centric foundation for evidence-based policy. This includes deploying multilingual, 24/7 AI-powered public service portals (chatbots and virtual assistants) to provide citizens with personalized guidance on accessing services, ensuring equity of access for remote and illiterate populations. Furthermore, AI tools can assist in dynamic legislative drafting by cross-referencing new bills with existing laws and treaties to ensure coherence, while also generating plain-language summaries for public consultation, making the entire governance process more transparent and accessible.
- 4.3 Civic Engagement and Education
- Transformer models can generate a wealth of civic education content from simplified legal guides to interactive dialogue scripts in Arabic and local languages, tailored to diverse literacy levels. AI-powered chatbots can serve as accessible interfaces between citizens and transitional authorities, answering questions on rights, services, and governance, thereby fostering trust and transparency.
- 4.4 AI-Augmented Conflict Analysis and Transitional Justice
- Generative AI is positioned not merely as a technological tool but as a transformative agent for reengineering the mechanisms of conflict resolution and transitional justice. Its role is pivotal in moving from reactive management to proactive, data-driven, and inclusive processes, ultimately supporting reconciliation and historical memory.
- The foundational application lies in AI's capacity to process information at a scale and speed impossible for human actors, thereby setting the stage for informed resolution and truth-seeking. Generative AI algorithms can be deployed to analyze vast datasets—including historical treaties, satellite imagery of resource displacement, social media sentiment, and transcripts of past negotiations—to perform root cause analysis and identify latent conflict drivers. This creates dynamic, evolving conflict maps that visualize hotspots, stakeholder networks, and underlying grievances, providing an evidence-based foundation for peace processes.
- Building on this analytical groundwork, generative models play a direct and sensitive role in reconciliation. To protect victims while preserving truth, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) can create anonymized testimonies for analysis and memorialization, ensuring emotional authenticity without compromising identity. Furthermore, transformer-based models can aggregate these analyzed patterns, along with fragmented oral histories and documents, to synthesize coherent, multilingual historical narratives for truth commissions. This ensures that justice processes are comprehensive, reflect a plurality of voices and experiences, and are built upon a deeply understood foundation of the conflict's origins and impacts.
- 4.5 The expected role of Generative AI in Peace building in Sudan post conflict future
- Within the framework of institutional reform, Generative AI (GenAI) is anticipated to transition from a novel technology to a foundational tool for sustainable peacebuilding in Sudan. Its role is not to replace human-led processes but to augment and accelerate them by addressing core challenges of scale, bias, access to information, and institutional capacity. The expected contributions can be categorized across three interconnected domains. GenAI's primary foundational role is to Data Synthesis and Understanding Complex Conflict Dynamics in Post-conflict. Sudan will be characterized by a fragmented information ecosystem and complex, overlapping grievances. AI plays foundational role is to Analyze Large-Scale Conflict Data, Processing vast amounts of unstructured data (e.g., historical records, news archives, tribal agreements, social media, testimony transcripts) to identify root causes, map conflict actors, and trace the evolution of disputes

5. Ethical and Philosophical Reflections

- The deployment of generative AI in a fragile context like Sudan demands rigorous ethical foresight. Key principles must include:

- **Agency and Voice:** Sudanese communities must retain ultimate authority in shaping the narratives and institutions that AI helps to generate.
- **Justice and Memory:** Models must be designed to avoid the erasure or distortion of historical trauma and must amplify, not silence, marginalized voices.

- **Power and Accountability:** AI systems must reinforce democratic oversight and civic accountability, not opaque technocratic control.
- **Epistemology:** Generative AI should be understood as a tool for pluralistic knowledge production as a means to augment, not replace, human judgment, deliberation, and local expertise.

6. Comparative Insights and Lessons for Adaptation

Comparative cases offer valuable lessons for Sudan:

- **Colombia:** Colombia's approach is unique because it directly addresses the challenges of a post-conflict society, making its experience a critical case study in **applying AI to restorative, not just punitive nor justice.** Its adoption of ethical guidelines for AI in judicial systems and use of generative tools to synthesize fragmented testimonies in transitional justice highlights the importance of human oversight and community-centered memory work. In summary, the Colombian experience defined by its **proactive ethical regulation** and its **innovative, human-centric application of AI** to the immense challenge of achieving peace and justice after decades of conflict. It serves as a global example of how technology can be harnessed to serve restorative goals, always under strict human guidance and with the victim at the center of the process.
- **Tunisia:** Post-revolution civic tech platforms, which focused on localized participatory design and multilingual media engagement, demonstrate the necessity of adapting tools to local linguistic and cultural contexts and prioritizing offline accessibility. In conclusion, the Tunisian experience demonstrates that the success of civic tech is not about the sophistication of the code, but about the depth of its integration into the local social, linguistic, and cultural fabric. The most innovative feature was not a piece of software, but the **commitment to offline engagement**, ensuring that the digital tools served to amplify democracy for all citizens, not just the connected elite.
- **Rwanda:** Its use of digital tools in governance and reconciliation underscores the value of national strategy and ethical design. Rwanda's AI and digital governance strategy is a state-driven, pragmatic model built on a foundation of national vision and post-genocide unity. It prioritizes efficiency and tangible outcomes through platforms like the Irembo e-government portal and Zipline's medical delivery drones, significantly improving service delivery and healthcare. Its ethical framework is utilitarian and paternalistic, emphasizing collective security, inclusive access via low-tech solutions, and local data to mitigate bias, while openly prioritizing these national development goals over individual privacy, creating a powerful but debated trade-off between progress and civil liberties.

Sudan can learn from these cases by prioritizing local adaptation, ethical co-design, and civil society leadership in any AI-enabled reform initiative. Based on the experiences of Colombia, Tunisia, and Rwanda, Sudan could leverage these lessons in the following way, tailored to its current context of fragile transition and profound challenges:

Sudan can leverage these experiences by adopting a **hybrid model** that prioritizes **inclusive foundational governance** above advanced technology.

From Rwanda: Adopt a clear, **national vision for digital governance** focused on solving immediate, critical needs like restoring citizen identification, streamlining humanitarian aid distribution, and building a transparent public service portal to combat corruption. This must be a state-led, strategic initiative.

- **From Tunisia:** Ensure this digital foundation is built on **localized, participatory design**. This means engaging diverse ethnic groups and communities in the design process, using multilingual interfaces (Arabic, English, local languages), and leveraging accessible platforms like USSD and radio to ensure inclusion beyond the digital elite, thus fostering national buy-in and healing.
- **From Colombia:** Embed **ethical guardrails and human oversight** from the start, especially for any AI used in reconciliation or justice processes. This is critical to manage historical grievances, prevent the manipulation of data, and ensure that technology serves truth and reconciliation, not surveillance or oppression. In short, Sudan should first use digital tools to build trust and provide basic governance, learning from these models to avoid their pitfalls especially regarding privacy and exclusion, while adapting them to its own complex social fabric.

7. Conclusion

Generative AI presents a paradigm-shifting opportunity to support institutional reform in Sudan. By leveraging the capabilities of GANs, VAEs, and transformers, stakeholders can simulate complex scenarios, enhance civic participation, and embed inclusivity into the very foundations of the state. However, this potential is contingent upon a commitment to ethical governance, local ownership, and a clear understanding that AI is a subordinate tool to human political will. If deployed with sensitivity and foresight, generative AI can be powerful catalysts in helping Sudan reimagine a governance architecture that is truly by and for its people.

References:

Goodfellow, I., et al. (2014). Generative Adversarial Nets. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems.

Kingma, D. P., & Welling, M. (2013). Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes. arXiv:1312.6114.

Vaswani, A., et al. (2017). Attention Is All You Need. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems.

UNESCO. (2021). Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. Paris: UNESCO.

[Example Colombia Case] Restrepo, N. (2024). Colombia's Framework for AI in the Judiciary. Bogotá: Ministry of Justice.

[Example Tunisia Case] Ben Gharbia, S. (2023). Civic Tech and Democratic Participation in Post-Revolution Tunisia. Tunisian Association for Digital Governance.

[Sudan Context] de Waal, A. (2023). Sudan's Unfinished Democracy: The Promise and Betrayal of a Revolution. Oxford University Press.

[AI Ethics] Metcalf, J., Keller, E., & Boyd, D. (2019). Perspectives on AI and Governance. AI Now Institute.

ISSN: 2663-5798 || Arab Journal for Scientific Publishing

الإصدار الثامن – العدد الرابع والثمانون
تاريخ الإصدار: 2 – تشرين الأول – 2025م

www.ajsp.net

"الذكاء الاصطناعي التوليدي والإصلاح المؤسسي في السودان: الأسس، الآليات، والتطبيقات التحولية"

إعداد الباحثان:

أحمد محمد خاطر عربي

بابكر عبدالرازق وقيع الله الخضر

الملخص:

يمثل الانتقال الذي يشهده السودان بعد النزاع فرصة حاسمة لإعادة تصوّر مؤسسات الدولة من خلال إصلاح شامل يتسم بالشمولية والمرونة والأسس الأخلاقية. تستكشف هذه الورقة البحثية الإمكانيات التي يقدمها الذكاء الاصطناعي التوليدي – وخاصة الشبكات التوليدية التنافسية(GANs) ، والمشفرات التلقائية التباينية(VAEs) ، ونماذج المحولات – (Transformers) لدعم هذا المسار المعقد لإعادة بناء المؤسسات.

بعيدًا عن الحلول التكنوقراطية البحتة، تتناول الدراسة الأسس النظرية لهذه النماذج، وتُسقط آلياتها التشغيلية على مجالات رئيسية في الإصلاح مثل: صياغة الدستور، محاكاة الميزانية، المشاركة المدنية، والعدالة الانتقالية. وتجادل الورقة بأن الذكاء الاصطناعي التوليدي، عند تكييفه محليًا وتوجيهه أخلاقيًا، يمكن أن يكون محفزًا لإعادة تخيّل الحوكمة، وتعزيز الوكالة المدنية، ودمج السرديات التعددية في نسيج التجديد المؤسسي.

تُختتم الورقة بتأملات أخلاقية ورؤى مقارنة مستخلصة من تجارب رواندا، كولومبيا، وتونس، مع تقديم إطار عمل لتنفيذ حساس للسياق المحلي.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الذكاء الاصطناعي التوليدي، الإصلاح المؤسسي، الانتقال بعد النزاع، السودان، العدالة الانتقالية، صياغة الدستور، المشاركة المدنية، الذكاء الاصطناعي الأخلاقي.